

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/888,823	06/25/2001	Trevor A. Page	778.042US1	9730
75	90 09/24/2002			
Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, MN 55402			SHINGLETON, MICHAEL B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2817	

DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Office Anti-	09-888, 823 Page				
Office Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit				
	SHINGLETON Z817				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE ONE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, e Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by state. 	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). g date of this communication, even if timely, may reduce any earned patent				
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
☐ This action is FINAL.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C 	r formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in .D. 1 1: 453 O.G. 213				
Disposition of Claims					
∠ Claim(s) 1-20					
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected				
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to				
Ø, Claim(s) 1−20	are subject to restriction or election				
Application Papers	requirement				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.					
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	er 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).				
□ All □ Some* □ None of the:	·				
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been recei					
□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received					
in this national stage application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))				
*Certified copies not received:					
ttachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	Interview Summary, PTO-413				
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other				

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Application/Control Number: 09/888,823

· Art Unit: 2817

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group I, directed toward Figure 1, Group II directed toward Figure 2.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Currently, no claims are considered generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Shingleton whose telephone number is 703-308-4903. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs from 8:30 to 4:30. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal, can be reached on (703) 308-4909. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Art Unit: 2817

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

MBS September 19, 2002

MICHAELBSHNGLETON
PRIMARYEXAMINER
3ROUPARTUNIT2817